

02-23-04

340

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## AMENDMENT

APPLICANT:

Aucoin

ATT. DOCKET NO.:

DAuco-01-A

SERIAL NO.:

10/033,603

**GROUP ART UNIT:** 

3753

FILED:

Dec. 28, 2001

**EXAMINER:** 

George L. Walton

TITLE:

"WATER-EXCLUDING VALVE ASSEMBLY FOR A SNORKEL"

RECEIVED

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

MAR 0 3 2004

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700** 

SIR:

In response to the Office action dated December 16, 2003, Applicant submits the following remarks.

## REMARKS

This Amendment is submitted in response to the Office action dated December 16, 2003. In the Office action, the Patent Office rejected Claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lin* in view of *Wayfield*. In addition, the Patent Office rejected Claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wayfield* in view of *Lin*. By the present Amendment, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejections are improper and should be withdrawn.

With respect to the rejection of Claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lin* in view of *Wayfield*, Applicant respectfully submits that this rejection is improper and should be withdrawn for the reasons that follow.

The Office action states "[Claims 1-4] are readable on the patent to Lin with the single exception of having a specific type [of] shaped float, i.e. a spherical float ball valve. The patent to [Wayfield] teaches the above exception. In view of the teaching of [Wayfield] it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the above exception to the device of Lin to replace float valve 62 with the float ball 34, if desired."